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Abstract

This paper assesses whether international migration from Mexico impacts the marital, fertility, schooling, and employment outcomes of the Mexican women who remain behind by exploiting variation over time as well as across Mexican states in the demographic imbalance between men and women. I construct a gauge of the relative supply of men for women of different age groups based on state-level male and female population counts and the empirically-observed propensity of men of specific ages to marry women of specific ages. Using Mexican census data from 1960 through 2000, I estimate a series of models where the dependent variable is the inter-census change in an average outcome for Mexican women measured by state and for specific age groups and the key explanatory variable is the change in the relative supply of men to women in that state/age group. I find that the declining relative supply of males positively and significantly impacts the proportion of women who have never been married as well as the proportion of women who have never had a child. In addition, states experiencing the largest declines in the relative supply of men also experience relatively large increases in female educational attainment and female employment rates. However, I find little evidence that women who do marry match to men that are younger or less educated than themselves.
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1. Introduction

Between 1970 and 2007, the foreign-born Mexican population residing in the United States increased over fourteen fold, from approximately 820,000 to 11.9 million. Over the comparable period, the resident population of Mexico increased 2.2 times, from 50.6 to 108.7 million. Thus net Mexico-U.S. migration has increased well beyond what would be expected based on Mexican population growth alone.

Mexican migrants are hardly a cross section of the national Mexican population. In particular, the migrant population is disproportionately male. In 2007, the ratio of males to females among Mexican nationals residing in the U.S. was roughly 1.43 among 16 to 20 years of age, 1.56 among those 21 to 25 years of age, and 1.49 for those 26 to 30 years of age.

The large and disproportionately male migratory flow from Mexico to the U.S. has lowered the ratio of males to females in Mexico, especially among those who are of prime working age. The consequent increasing relative scarcity of men may impact the behavioral choices of non-migrant Mexican women along a number of dimensions. Young women may delay marriage and child-bearing, may invest more in schooling to ensure future economic self-sufficiency, and may increase participation in the formal labor markets. Alternatively, the scarcity of men may induce women to marry men to whom they are less suitably matched.

Many have studied the impacts of immigration on various outcomes in the receiving country, such as labor markets (Borjas 2003, Card 2001, 2005, Ottaviano and Peri 2008), economics assimilation (Borjas 1995, Lubotsky 2007, Raphael and Smolensky 2009), crime (Butcher and Piehl 2007), and public expenditures (Smith and Edmonston 1997). Less effort has been devoted to studying the effects of mass migration on the sending country. In this paper, I
assess whether migration from Mexico impacts the marital, fertility, schooling, and employment outcomes of the Mexican women who remain behind.

I exploit variation over time and across Mexican states in the imbalance between men and women. The contribution of individual Mexican states to northern migration is quite uneven. For example, in 2000 less than one percent of households in Campeche had a migrant abroad, compared with over 15 percent of households in Michoacán. Central Mexican states and a few northern states have historically contributed disproportionate numbers of migrants. Consequently, there is considerable heterogeneity across states in the time path of sex ratios.

I measure the relative supply of men for women of different age groups based on state-level male and female population counts. Potential male spouses are allocated across female age groups based on the empirically-observed propensity of men of specific ages to marry women of specific ages. Using Mexican census data covering 1960 through 2000, I estimate a series of models where the dependent variable is the inter-census change in an average outcome for Mexican women measured by state and for specific age groups and the key explanatory variable is the change in the corresponding relative supply of men. To address possible bias from selective out-migration of women in response to the scarcity of men, I also present results where the supply measure is instrumented using a similar gauge calculated based on one’s state of birth.

I find that the declining relative supply of males positively and significantly impacts the proportion of women who have never been married as well as the proportion of women who have never had a child. In addition, states experiencing the largest declines in the relative supply of men also experience relatively large increases in female educational attainment and female employment rates. I find little evidence that women who do marry match to men that are relatively younger or less educated.
2. The Impact of the Relative Supply of Men on Female Socioeconomic Outcomes

There is a long history in sociology and economics of modeling the process by which men and women match to one another, marry, and/or have children in the context of search theory. In such a framework those searching for spouses receive opportunities at irregular intervals, face considerable uncertainty regarding actual and future character traits of potential suitors, and must form some minimum acceptable standards in a complex multivariate manner that may or may not allow for substitutability along alternative dimensions (see for example the seminal work of Becker 1991, Oppenheimer 1988, and Lichter, Anderson, and Hayward 1995).

Heterogeneous men and women seek out potential mates in a world where search is costly and new prospects present themselves in some time-delayed manner. From the female perspective (or the male perspective for that matter), prospective partners present themselves at a rate that increases with the relative supply of men. Assuming that prospective spouses can be ranked according to some gauge of quality, a woman searching for a partner will have a minimum quality standard below which a prospect will be rejected. For a prospect that clears the threshold, a match will form.

While certainly simplistic, this framework is useful for thinking through how declining Mexican sex ratios may impact non-migrant Mexican women. Gender-biased migration reduces the relative supply of men which in turn should reduce the rate at which women encounter prospective suitors. For a given reservation quality threshold, a specific woman must search longer to find a suitable spouse, thus delaying time until marriage. Alternatively, women searching for spouses may lower their standards and on average marry lower quality men, a
derivative behavioral response that will at least partially offset the direct effect of male scarcity on the likelihood marriage.\(^1\)

In addition, changes in the relative supply of men may also impact the gender balance of power within marriages and have consequent implications for female expectations that may impact educational and career choices. Chiappori, Fortin, and Lacroix (2002) present a theoretical model of household decision making whereby the bargaining position of spouses within the household is influenced by “distribution factors” external to the household. Distribution factors, such as the ease with which one can divorce or the ratio of men to women, influence the fallback position of each spouse should the marriage dissolve and thus determine bargaining power over any welfare surplus generated within the marriage. South and Lloyd (1995) indeed present empirical evidence for the United States indicating that marriages are more likely to dissolve in regions where the availability of alternative partners is particularly high. Hence, decision making within formed and officially sanctioned unions regarding human capital investment, specialization within the household, as well as fertility are likely to be made with an eye on the likely stability of the union.

A scarcity of male suitors may also improve the bargaining position of men when it comes to negotiating personal relationships outside of marriage. For example, men may find it easier to find sexual partners, may have the upper hand in negotiations over whether and which birth control to use, and may be required to demonstrate less loyalty in personal relationships when they are abundant relative to women. Indeed, researchers have found significant inverse

\(^1\) It is conceivable that the indirect effect on the likelihood of marriage of lowering one’s standards may overwhelm the negative direct effect of a decline in male availability operating through the offer arrival rate. This would be the case if a slight drop in standards greatly increases the pool of available men. Of course, this will depend on the actual form of the empirical quality distribution of male suitors. Regardless, these opposing direct and indirect effects suggest that in theory, the effect of relative male scarcity on female marriage probabilities can go in either direction. As we will soon see, however, most studies reviewed below find that mate availability positively covaries with female marriage rates.
relationships between sex ratios and the rates of teen pregnancy (Sampson 1995), syphilis (Kilmarx et. al. 1997) and gonorrhea (Thomas et. al. 2003).

One’s marriage prospects are clearly contemporaneously and dynamically related to one’s labor supply and human capital choices. The expectation of a lengthier period until marriage, or perhaps an increased probability of never marrying is likely to induce women to make human capital investments that will ensure their future economic self-sufficiency (Becker 1991). When men are relatively scarce, it would be rational to invest more in formal education and to acquire experience in the formal labor market.

Finally, the relative supply of males may impact fertility through several channels. First, to the extent that marriage is a social pre-condition for child-bearing, poorer marriage prospects may results in both lower marriage rates as well as lower age-adjusted fertility. Second, women in marriage markets with terms of trade that are relatively unfavorable towards women may be in less secure relationships, and as a result, less willing to have children either within or outside of marriage. Such effects may surface in either lifetime fertility or the proportion of women who have never had a child.

A host of studies of the United States have sought to test the theoretical predictions of search theory as applied to the market for marriage. Prompted in part by William Julius Wilson’s declining marriageability hypothesis (Wilson 1996), much research has been devoted to understanding whether a paucity of men explains the relatively poor marital outcomes for African-American women. Using metropolitan area level data from the 1980 census, South and Lloyd (1992) find positive partial correlations in cross sectional data between mate availability and the proportion of women who are married for white women but not for black women. Using data from the 1980 census matched to the 1979 National Longitudinal Survey of Youth,
South (1996) finds that mate availability elevates the marriage hazard rate for young women as well as the probability of non-marital childbearing for white women. For black women, however, the gauge of mate availability only impacts the likelihood of an out-of-wedlock birth. The differential results for black women are particularly puzzling, since black women are considerably more likely to marry within race than white women and thus, historically have not cast a wider net across racial and ethnic groups (Rosenfeld 2008).

Exploiting variation across ethnic/racial/age groups within a single large metropolitan area (Los Angeles), Catanzarite and Ortiz (2002) find that never-married women in demographic groups where men are relatively scarce are more likely to have had children relative to never-married women in demographic groups where men are relatively abundant. Fossett and Kiecolt have found strong associations between male socioeconomic status, female marriage prevalence, and family structure among African Americans using both cross-metropolitan area variation (Fossett and Kiecolt 1993), as well as variation across counties within a single southern state (Fossett and Kiecolt 1990).

All of the studies reviewed thus far rely on cross-sectional geographic variation, cross-sectional inter-racial and inter-ethnic variation, or both sources of cross-sectional variation in mate availability to estimate the relationship between marriage market conditions and female outcomes. While all of the studies make attempts to control for possible confounding variables, such efforts are limited to what can be measured with census data and other large microdata surveys. One might certainly contend that geographic variation in sex ratios may be correlated with unobservable factors that impact both sex ratios and the female outcomes of interest. This is certainly a plausible alternative interpretation in studies that rely on cross-racial and ethnic variation. In an attempt to methodologically address the omitted variables bias issue, a recent
analysis of marital outcomes by Charles and Louh (forthcoming) for African-American women exploits variation occurring within demographic groups over time in marriage market conditions. Moreover, the authors identify the specific institutional source of variation in the change in mate availability over time.

Charles and Luoh analyze the effect of variation in male incarceration rates on the marital outcomes of African-American women in the U.S. Using data from several years of the decennial census, the authors estimate average marital outcomes that vary by race, age, and state and test for an impact of male incarceration rates relying solely on variation occurring within demographic/state groups over time. The authors find that in states experiencing particularly large increases in black male incarceration rates, women are less likely to marry and more likely to marry men who are less educated than themselves. To be sure, cross-group variation in the change in incarceration rates may itself be endogenous. For example, if demographic groups experiencing large increases in incarceration also experience a contemporaneous worsening in employment prospects or a differential change in illicit behavior either economically motivated or otherwise, marital outcomes as well as male incarceration rates may simultaneously worsen. However, the use of within-group change in marriage-market conditions and adult female outcomes does effectively control for any factors that are specific to demographic groups and that impact a time-invariant effect on the outcome in question.

Several recent papers have analyzed the impact of the ratio of men to women on the marital and economic outcomes of women in a number of alternative social and historical contexts where there are clear identifiable sources of exogenous variation in sex ratios. Angrist (2002) tests for an impact of immigrant-induced variation in sex ratios in the United States on the marital outcomes of the second-generation U.S. children of immigrants. Based on an analysis of
eleven ethnic groups defined by country of origin with a large immigrant presence in the U.S.,
the author finds that second generation women are more likely to marry, less likely to work, and
more likely to have high incomes when immigration increases the sex ratio of their ethnic group.
Abramitzky, Delavande, and Vasconcelos (2009) assess the impact of geographic variation in
sex ratios across French regions caused by variation in WW-I war casualties on the marital
outcomes of men and women. The authors find that in those regions suffering the most war
casualties, men were more likely to marry women from a higher social class than their own.

Rao (1993) presents a particularly novel assessment of the impact of marriage market
conditions on the surplus that women derive from marriage. The author investigates the
determinants of dowry payments from the family of the bride to the family of the groom for a set
of villages in central India. The author finds an association between the relative supply of
women and the size of the dowry, with a glut of women associated with higher dowries.

One particularly relevant study analyses whether marriage market conditions impacts the
quality of existing relationships. Harknett (2008) matches data from the U.S. census to data from
the multicity Fragile Family survey to analyze the effects of sex ratios on the quality of
relationships among couples who have had children out of wedlock. While Harknett’s analysis
relies on cross-sectional variation in mate availability and thus is subject to the critique of such
studies raised above, the detailed data in the Fragile Families survey does permit controlling for
many factors beyond the usual set of covariates from census data and also permits the author to
analyze several novel outcome variables.

Harknett finds little evidence of an impact of marriage market conditions on the labor
market prospects of mates. However, the author finds a significant effect of marriage market
conditions favorable to women on the likelihood that male partners are at least as educated as the
mothers of their children. The author also finds that high sex ratios are positively associated with various gauges of relationship quality, including measures of mutual supportiveness, lack of within-couple conflict, and whether the father visits the mother in the hospital after birth. Finally, Harknett finds that in areas with higher sex ratios the probability that relationship results in a marriage after the birth of a child is higher.

As we will soon see, migration between Mexico and the U.S. has certainly lowered the ratio of men to women, consequently shifting the terms-of-trade in the Mexican marriage market decisively in favor of Mexican men. Whether this change has impacted average outcomes for Mexican women is an empirical question to which we now turn.

3. Data Description and the Link Between International Migration and Sex Ratios

The analysis presented in this paper is based on microdata from the 1960 (1.5 percent), 1970 (1 percent), 1990 (10 percent), and 2000 (10.5 percent) Mexican Censuses. With the exception of the 1960 census which was drawn from a sample of individuals, all censuses are based on household samples and permit matching between spouses within households (an important feature for constructing the relative supply of males index in subsequent sections). Data from census year 1980 is unavailable as large portions of the data base from that year were destroyed in the 1985 Mexico City earthquake (Rabell 2001). All of the data for this project were downloaded from the IPUMS international webpage and the University of Minnesota.

The population of Mexican nationals residing in the United States is disproportionately working age and male. Moreover, this migrant population has grown considerably over the past four decades. Here I document the consequent impact on the ratio of men-to-women in Mexico
and explore the relationship within Mexico between the proportion of households that send migrants abroad and the relative supply of men.

Figure 1 presents the ratio of males-to-females for five year age groups in each of the census years between 1960 and 2000. Even in 1960 the ratio of males to female declines between the age groups of 11 to 15 and 21 to 25, likely reflective of the presence of migrant men in the U.S. and elsewhere. From 1960 to 2000, however, these ratios decline further, especially for age groups above 20 years of age. For example, the ratio of men to women between 21 and 25 years of age declines from 0.93 to 0.88 between 1960 and 2000. Among those 31 to 35 years of age, the sex ratio declines from 0.98 to 0.90.

The changing sex ratios in Mexico mirror changes in the sex ratio among Mexican nationals residing in the U.S. Figure 2 presents comparable sex ratios for the foreign-born Mexican population in the U.S. tabulated from the 1970 and 1990 U.S. Census of Population and Housing as well as from the 2007 American Community Survey.\(^2\) The 1970 census reveals a fair degree of balance between Mexican-born men and women residing in the U.S. for all age groups. In 1990 and 2007, however, there are notable increases (to above 1.2, but in some instances above 1.4) in the ratio of men-to-women especially among those in their twenties.

One can ascertain the relative importance of migration in explaining low sex ratios in Mexico by combining data from the United States and Mexico. Using data from both countries, I calculate sex ratios within each age group when combining Mexican national living in the U.S. and Mexicans living in Mexico and compare these ratios to those using only the resident population of Mexico. The results from this exercise are presented in Figure 3. For 1970 and 2000, the figure presents the difference between the hypothetical sex ratio combining the US foreign-born Mexican and Mexican populations and the sex ratio using the Mexico population

---

\(^2\) The micro data for these tabulations were downloaded from the IPUMS webpage at the University of Minnesota.
only. Interestingly, in 1970 migration to the U.S. has little impact on sex ratios in the home country (as can be seen by the negligible differences for this year in 1970). In 2000, however, migration to the U.S. contributed considerably to lower sex ratios. Specifically, the figures indicates that the sex ratio for those in their 20s and early 30s would be higher by roughly 0.05 if all Mexican nationals returned to Mexico from the U.S.

Unfortunately, the Mexican census does not contain detailed information on migrants abroad. Thus it is not possible to depict the gender composition of the migratory outflow. Moreover, the early census years do not contain information on whether the household has a family member living abroad. However, the 2000 Mexican census does include a question at the household level inquiring whether the household currently has one of its members residing in a foreign country. With this variable it is possible to estimate a migration rate defined specifically as the proportion of households with a migrant abroad. In conjunction with some simple theorizing, the variation within Mexico in the proportion of households with a migrant abroad can be used to establish the relationship between migration and sex ratios.

To the extent that migration is gender biased, one should observe a negative correlation between a state’s overall migration rate and the state’s sex ratio. To formally identify the source of this correlation, define $M^b_i$ as the population total at time of birth for a given male birth cohort in state $i$, and $M^c_i$ as the current population of males from this birth cohort in state $i$. Assume that the difference between these two totals, $M^m_i = M^b_i - M^c_i$, is due entirely to international migration out of the state. Define the comparable totals, $W^b_i$, $W^c_i$, and $W^m_i$, for the corresponding birth cohort of women in state $i$. Assume for the moment that only men migrate internationally. Under this assumption, one can derive the following linear relationship between the sex ratio of the specific birth cohort and the overall migration rate as follows:
Migration \(_i\) is the total migration rate for the cohort under the assumption that only males migrate. Equation (1) tells us that with perfectly gender-imbalanced migration, the current sex ratio for a given birth cohort in state \(i\) equals the sex ratio at birth minus one plus the sex ratio at birth times the overall migration rate. Assuming that males and females are born in proportion to one another,\(^3\) equation (1) implies that a regression of sex ratios on the overall migration rate should yield a slope coefficient of approximately -2.

Similarly, it is easy to show that with gender-balanced migration, the current sex ratio should not depend on the migration rate. Specifically, assuming that both males and females of a given birth cohort leave state \(i\) at rate \(migration_i\), the following condition will hold:

\[
\frac{M^c_i}{W^c_i} = \frac{M^b_i}{W^b_i} - \left[ \frac{M^b_i}{W^b_i} + 1 \right] migration_i
\]

That is to say, with gender-balanced migration the current sex ratio will equal the sex ratio at birth. Consequently, a regression of state sex ratios against state migration rate should yield a slope coefficient of zero.

Figures 4 and 5 present scatter plots of sex ratios measured at the state level in 2000 against the proportion of households in each state with a migrant abroad. Figure 4 presents a

\(^3\) The natural odds ratio of a males birth is slightly greater than one, with sex ratios at birth without selective aborting around 1.05 (Almond and Edlund 2008).
scatter for those between 20 and 25 years of age while figure 5 presents a similar scatter for those between 31 and 35. The figures reveal a great degree of variation across states in the male-to-female ratio within the age groups depicted. Among those 31 to 35 years of age, the sex ratio varies from below 0.85 to nearly 1.05 while the values of this ratio among states for those 20 to 25 year of age ranges from below 0.8 to above one. There is also a considerable degree of heterogeneity across states in the proportion of households with a migrant abroad (with a range from under one percent of households to over 15 percent of households).4

The figures also reveal a strong inverse relationship between each sex ratio and the proportion of households with a migrant abroad. In figure 4, the slope coefficient on the line fit through the data cloud equal -0.901 and is significant at the one percent level of confidence. The strength of this relationship is reflected in the relatively high R² from this bivariate scatter plot (0.439). The slope coefficient for the regression line in Figure 5 is -0.921 and is also highly significant, with the migration rate variable explaining slightly over half of the cross state variation in sex ratios. While these slope coefficients fall considerably short of -2, they are certainly statistically distinguishable from zero and strongly indicate that gender-biased international migration is altering Mexican sex ratios in a geographically concentrated manner. Hence, changes in Mexican sex ratios over time, the decline in sex ratios among the resident population of Mexico relative to those for the overall population of Mexican nationals (U.S. and

---

4 The cross-state variation in emigration rates is a fascinating phenomenon in and of itself. A recent thorough empirical analysis of cross-state and cross-cohort migration rates finds that both economic push factors as well as network effects play important roles in determining the cross-state variation in migration rates depicted in Figures 4 and 5. Hanson and McIntosh (2010) show that inter-decade migration rates from Mexican states between 1960 and 2000 depend positively on the size of particular birth cohorts (consistent with a labor market supply push argument) and negatively on initial per-capita GDP and growth in per-capita GDP. The authors also find substantial heterogeneity in these effects for states that are traditional sending states relative to states with lower historical migration rates. Specifically, the authors show that these economic push and pull factors matter more in states with relatively high emigration rates in 1924 and states that are in close proximity to the main railroad passing through the country to the U.S. border (a transportation hub for recruiters of Mexican workers during the pre WWII period (Cardoso 1980)). Independently of these factors, proximity to the U.S. border is not a particularly strong predictor of emigration rates.
Mexico combined) as well as the strong inverse cross-sectional correlation between sex ratios and migration rates all indicate that northern Migration has lowered the relative availability of men in Mexico.5

4. Estimation Strategy

I test for an effect of the changing relative availability of Mexican men on several socioeconomic outcomes for women. Specifically, I test for an effect on the proportion who have never-married, the proportion without children, the proportion who have never married but have born children, average educational attainment, and the proportion enrolled in school as well as the proportion working. I also test for measures of within-marriage mismatch for formed unions. In particular, I analyze whether variation in the relatively supply of men impacts average male-female age differences within marriage as well as the proportion of women marrying younger men. I also analyze similar outcomes regarding within-marriage educational disparities.

The methodological strategy employed in this paper involves exploiting the cross-state variation in changes in the relative supply of men. Specifically, let $c$ index three age groups (16 to 19, 20 to 25 and 26 to 30), $s$ index the 32 Mexican states, and $t$ index time. Define the variable $rsupply_{cst}$ as the relative supply of men to women in age group $c$ in state $s$ in year $t$, and $\Delta rsupply_{cst}$ as the change in the relative supply of men between periods $t-1$ and $t$. My estimates of the impact of the relative supply of men on outcomes for Mexican women derive from estimation of the equation

---

5 It is also the case that the low sex ratios beyond 15 years of age observed in Mexico are unique relative to other Latin American countries. In an earlier working paper version of this study, I presented a comparison of Mexican sex ratios by age to those for four other Spanish-speaking Latin American countries. In all comparisons, Mexican sex ratios among those over 15 are relatively lower, with particularly large differences relative to Panama, Costa Rica, and Venezuela and more modest differences relative to Colombia. This is not particularly surprising given the relatively low rate of migration from these alternative countries to the United States.
\[
\Delta \text{Outcome}_{ct} = \alpha_c + \psi_t + \delta_s + \beta \Delta r^{\text{sup}} + \delta_{ct} + \epsilon_{ct},
\]

where \( \Delta \text{Outcome}_{ct} \) measures the change in an outcome variable between period \( t-1 \) and \( t \) measured at the state/age group level, \( \alpha_c \) is an age-specific intercept permitting linear time trends that vary by age group, \( \psi_t \) are time period fixed effects, \( \delta_s \) is a state-specific intercept, \( \beta \) provides the key estimate of the marginal effect of the change in the supply of men on the outcome variable, and \( \epsilon_{ct} \) is a mean-zero disturbance term.

Estimation of equation (3) relies on cross-state variation in the change in the relative supply of men. That is to say, I am assessing how within-state changes in outcome variables correlate with within-state changes in the key explanatory variable. By specifying equation (3) in first differences, average state-level variation in outcomes levels are differenced out of the equation. Moreover, the inclusion of state-specific fixed effects in the change specification controls for linear-time trends in the outcome levels that vary across states. The inclusion of age-specific fixed effects permits linear time trends in each outcome variable that may vary across age groups. Finally, the inclusion of year fixed effects permits the average changes to differ across census-year pairings. This is particularly important given that for one of our comparisons, the temporal change spans a twenty-year period (in particular the change between the 1970 and 1990 censuses).

The identifying assumption behind equation (3) is that the cross-state variation in the between census-year changes in the relative supply of men, after purging the portion of variance in this explanatory variable attributable to variation across age groups, states, and census year pairings, is exogenous. Perhaps the key threat to the internal validity of the regression model in equation (3) comes from the possibility that women may internally migrate within Mexico in
response to changes in marriage market conditions within their home state as well as in response to cross-state differences in marriage market conditions.

For example, suppose that women vary with respect to their desire to be married and/or to have children. In response to a decline in the relative supply of men, those women who are set on marrying may migrate to states with marriage market conditions more favorable to women. Such endogenous selection of women across states results in women with strong preferences for marriage locating in states with favorable marriage market conditions and women less determined to marry in states with relatively poor conditions. Unobservable heterogeneity along this dimension of preferences may induce spurious correlation between variation in the relative supply of men and the outcome variable of interest. That is to say, averages preference towards marriage should exert a negative impact on the proportion never married and be positively correlated with the relative supply of men. Thus, the omission of geographic variation in such preferences would exert a negative bias on the estimate of the relative supply of men on the proportion of women who have never married.

To address such concerns, I exploit the fact that in each census year the Mexican census collects information on both one’s state of residence as well as one’s state of birth. Variation in the relative supply of men in one’s age group based on one’s state of birth (and the state of birth of remaining non-migrant Mexican men) will not be influenced by the internal migration of men and women. Below, I estimate the specification in equation (3) above using (1) ordinary least squares and the relative supply of men in one’s current state of residence, and (2) instrumental variables where the relative supply of men based on one’s state of birth is used as an instrument for the relative supply of men in one’s state of residence. Table 1 presents a series of regressions of the relative supply measure based on state of residence on the relative supply measure based
on state of birth. In all three model specifications, the variable based on state of birth is a highly significant predictor of the relative supply measure based on state of residence.

To measure the relative supply of men to women of a specific age, I make use of the empirically-observed propensity of married men of specific age groups to marry women of specific age groups. To be specific, define \( p_{c|i} \) as the conditional probability that a married man of age \( i \) is married to a women a of age \( c \), where \( \sum_c p_{c|i} = 1 \). Let \( \text{men}_{ist} \) be the total population of men of age \( i \) in state \( s \) in year \( t \). If the distribution of married men across the spousal age distribution is indicative of men’s preferences, then the supply of men of age \( i \) to women of age \( c \) in a given state and year is given by \( \text{supply}_{icst} = p_{c|i} \times \text{men}_{ist} \). The total supply of men to women in a specific state, age, and year group is found by summing over \( i \), or \( \sum_i \text{supply}_{icst} = \sum_i \sum_c p_{c|i} \times \text{men}_{ist} \). Finally, dividing this total supply measure by the relevant population count for women provides a gauge of the relative supply of men \( (\text{rsupply}_{cst} = \text{supply}_{cst} / \text{women}_{cst}) \).

I estimate the conditional probabilities \( p_{c|i} \) using data on all married men in the 1990 census who can be matched to their spouses. A visual inspection of the 1990 census data reveals that while men are certainly more likely to marry women who are relatively close to them in age (although both distributions reveal a propensity to marry younger women), there is a fair degree of pairing outside of narrow five year age bands. Hence, the relative supply measure employed here should more precisely capture how the supply of men of a given age group is distributed across women of different ages. I calculate the relevant male and female populations at the state age group level for all census years included in the analysis and then tabulate relative supply according to the formula above.

I restrict the analysis to three age groups of women; 16 to 19 year olds, 20 to 25 year olds, and 26 to 30 year olds since most first marriages, first births, and human capital
investments choices take place prior to thirty years of age. Thus, if the relative supply of men is impacting outcomes one would expect to see an impact for these three age groups. Relative supply is tabulated for each single year age group and then averaged within the broader age bands that form one the age dimension of variation in my data set.

Finally, all of the models presented below are weighted by the average number of observations used to compute the inter-census change in the dependent variable. In addition, in all models I tabulate robust standard errors that are clustered by state and age groups.

5. Estimation Results

Table 2 presents results from estimation of Equation (3) for each of the outcomes variables. For each outcome the model presents OLS results where the key explanatory variable is the relative supply of men based on state of residence as well as IV results where the relative supply of men based on state of birth is used as an instrument for the relative supply measure based on state of residence.⁶ Within each set, I present estimates for three specifications: a model including year fixed effects, a model with year and age effects, and a model with year, age, and state fixed effects. In all regressions, the average age of women within each group is also included in the specification.

The empirical relationship between the proportion of women who have never been married and the relative supply of men is fairly robust across specifications. In both the OLS and IV models controlling for year-specific and age-specific fixed effects, the relative supply of males exerts a significant (at the one percent level) and negative impact. In all estimates the OLS and IV results are quite similar to one another and formal Hausman tests of the relative supply of men fail to reject the null hypothesis of exogeneity in all comparisons. In the final

⁶ Note, the relevant first stage models are presented in Table 1.
specification allowing for state linear time trends, the coefficients are attenuated somewhat, yet are still statistically significant at the 10 percent level of confidence. For the proportion of women who have never had a child, relative supply exerts a negative effect which is statistically significant at the one percent level of confidence in all specifications.

The results in Table 2 reveal a statistically significant positive relationship between the relative supply of men and school enrolment, suggesting that young women are more likely to be enrolled in school when men are relatively abundant. This estimate is fairly consistent across model specifications and significant at either the one percent or five percent level of confidence in all models. Completed years of schooling is negatively associated with changes in the relative supply of men, suggesting that women complete more schooling when men are relatively scarce. Finally, there is a strong robust negative effect of the relative supply of men on the proportion of women who are working. The coefficient estimate is significant in all specifications, and varies little between models.\(^7\)

To put the results into context, Table 3 presents the results from the following thought experiment. Suppose we were to transplant a young woman between 20 and 25 years of age from the central state of Michoacán (where the relative supply of men to women of this age group in 2000 has the relatively low value of 0.89) to the southern state of Quintana Roo (where the relative supply of men to women of this age group in 2000 is the relatively high value of 7 The results regarding work and school enrolment are certainly consistent with one another. Women are less likely to work when men are relatively abundant and more likely to be enrolled in school, suggesting that the need to work displaced formal education among the women analyzed. However we also find completed schooling levels to be higher on average when men are relatively scarce. While these findings regarding school enrolment and educational attainment may seem at odds with one another, it is important to keep in mind that he median woman 14 to 50 years of age in Mexico has nine years of completed schooling, while the comparable median for early years in our analysis period is even lower. Hence, most of the women in our sample (the minimum age considered is 16) are several years beyond the termination of their formal education. Hence, it is possible to see both a response of average years of school completion as well as change in enrolment akin to what we see here. With poor marriage market prospects women may increase their educational attainment from primary to middle school levels. At the same time, we can see a reduction in secondary and post-secondary enrolment for some due to the need to work in the formal economy.
1.11). By how much would each of the analysis outcomes change? Moreover, how large would these effects be relative to the base level of each outcome for all Mexican Women?

The first column of figures in Table 3 presents the coefficient from the most complete specification reported in Table 2 (those in the final column of figures) using either the OLS or IV coefficient with the smaller value for each outcome. The second column presents the difference in the relative supply of men between Quintana Roo and Michoacán. The third column presents the product of the figures in the first two columns, providing an estimate of the impact that the hypothetical move would have on each outcome. Finally, the final column characterizes the implied effect relative to the base level. For the proportion never married, never having had a child, employed, and the proportion enrolled, I use the average for all Mexican women between 20 and 25 years of age for 2000. For years of schooling, I use the overall average level of schooling for all women 14 to 50 years of age as the base.

The results suggest relatively modest effects of variation in the supply of men on the proportion of women who have never married and have never had a child. Moving from the state with one of the lowest relative supplies to the state with one of the highest would decrease the proportion never married by roughly 6 percent relative to the observe base level. The comparable figure for the proportion that have never had a child is 7 percent. The relative impact on school enrolment among this group is appreciably larger, with the move yielding a 20 percent increase in enrolment. In addition, the implied impact on employment is a reduction of 15 percent. Finally, the relative impact on years of schooling is a modest 6 percent.

Tables 2 and 3 explore the relationship between the relative supply of men and average outcomes measured for all women regardless of marital status. However, several of the papers reviewed above find that the relative supply of men may also impact characteristics of marriages
that actually form. For example, several papers find evidence consistent with women dropping their standards when men are relatively scarce. Table 4 presents estimation results for the four outcomes meant to characterize several dimensions of the quality of the marriage from the perspective of the female. In particular, I explore the relationship between changes in relative male supply and four measures describing married women: (1) the median within-marriage age difference between husbands and wives, (2) the proportion of women married to a younger man, (3) the median within-marriage education difference between husbands and wives, and (4) the proportion of women married to a less educated man.

The general impression created by the results in Table 4 is that there is very little evidence that the quality of formed marriages is impacted by the relative supply of males, at least along the dimension measured here. I find no evidence that the relative supply of men impacts the median within-marriage difference in age between husbands and wives or the proportion of women married to younger men. Similarly, the educational differential between husbands and wives is unrelated to the relative supply of males in all models. In the most detailed specification for the change in the proportion of women married to less educated men, the marginal effect of the relative supply of men becomes statistically significant and positive, suggesting that women in stronger marriage markets are more likely to marry men that are less educated than themselves, an effect in the opposite direction of what theory would imply. In general however, there is little evidence of an impact of the relative supply of men on these outcomes.

6. Discussion

The results of this study are several. First, I have documented quite large changes in the ratio of resident Mexican men to women since 1960 and the great deal of cross-state
heterogeneity in these ratios. Sex ratios for prime age men and women are quite closely associated with the proportion of households in a state with a migrant abroad, with states with higher migration rates having relatively low sex ratios. Thus, it is certainly the case that emigration from Mexico has altered the internal demographic composition of the nation.

Second, changes in the relative supply of men have geographically concentrated impacts on several average socioeconomic outcomes for young Mexican women. In particular, states experiencing relatively large declines in the relative supply of men also experience relatively large increase in the proportion of young women who have never married, the proportion who have never had a child, female school enrolment rates, female educational attainment, and female employment rates. I find very little evidence that low sex ratios increases the proportion of married women that are paired to less educated men or men who are younger then themselves.

The findings reported here to some degree support the dynamic human capital accumulation model offered by Becker (1991). I do indeed find that a decline in marriage prospects as measured by lower male mate availability leads to greater labor force participation and an increase in formal educational attainment among young Mexican women. Moreover, the impact on the proportion never married substantiates the hypothetical link between mate availability on the likelihood of marriage. The evidence presented is clearly consistent with young Mexican women incorporating the changing marriage market into their long-term decisions pertaining to the degree to which they engage the formal labor market.

In light of the evidence of this rational behavioral response, it is surprising that there is little impact of mate availability on mate quality within formed marriages. This result stands in contrast to the results for African-American women using similar estimation methods presented in Charles and Luoh (forthcoming). This disparity in findings relative to research on the U.S.
may be driven by several factors. First, it may be the case that the role of class in determining marriage pairings exhibits greater influence in Mexico than it does among African-Americans in the U.S., among the French after World War I, or in other social and historical contexts where researchers have documented tangible evidence of a decline in standards in response to an adverse shift in the marriage terms of trade. Alternatively, it may be the case that finer outcomes of match quality, such as those employed in Harknett (2008), are needed to detect changes in mate characteristics associated with relatively poor marriage odds for women. In the Mexican immigrant context, this may be particular important as Mexican immigrants to the U.S. generally have very low average levels of formal educational attainment and are characterized by an educational attainment distribution that is very low-variance (Bohn, Lofstrom and Raphael 2012).

Another contrast with the findings from previous research pertains to the proportion of never married women who have born children. Several researchers have found evidence of a negative relationship between mate availability and the likelihood that women have children out of wedlock. Clearly, the potential fertility responses to a paucity of marriageable men include either an increase in the likelihood of not having children, an increase in the likelihood of having a child out of wedlock, or increases in both probabilities. The U.S. research suggests responses along both margins, especially among African-American women. The findings presented here indicate that for Mexican women only the likelihood of never having a child increases. One might speculate that differences in cultural mores regarding marriage and fertility may explain this differential responsiveness. This is clearly a line of research worth pursuing in the future.

The findings here raise a number of questions that are certainly in need of further research. For example, as women’s behavioral and social outcomes are influenced by the relative
supply of men, it would be interesting to assess whether internal migration of men and women respond to variation in marriage-market conditions. In other words, do women move from states where men are scarce to states where men are relatively abundant? Do we observe the opposite internal migratory patterns for men? A further analysis of this question would certainly shed light on the process by which men and women seek out spouses and contribute to our understanding of the economics of household formation.

The results here also prompt the larger questions of how Mexican emigration has impacted Mexican society. In a purely rational choice framework where preferences are exogenously determined and individuals optimize subject to constraints, one would posit that the behavioral responses of women to changes in mate availability documented here would be reversed if emigration from Mexico to the U.S. were to dry up (as has occurred in recent years). However, to the extent that women’s preferences regarding work and marriage change, to the extent that female labor supply and human capital choices are determined in part by available older female role models, or to the extent that increase in female labor force participation and formal educational attainment are changing social mores, male-biased emigration flows may have permanently transformed gender roles in Mexico.

Related questions concern how the changes in female roles induced by gender-biased emigration are impacting women’s relative position within social and economic hierarchies in Mexico. For example, does the increase in female labor force participation corresponding to greater occupational mobility for women? Has the resultant economic independence altered the living arrangements of young Mexican women (for example, are they more likely to reside away from their parents)?
More generally, greater attention should be paid to the impact of international migration on sending countries. The scale of north-south population movements is certainly sufficient to generate similar patterns in other large sending nations.
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Figure 3: Difference Between Age-Specific Sex Ratios (Males to Females) for All Mexican Nationals (Residing in the U.S. and Mexico Combined) and for Mexican Nationals Residing in Mexico, 1970 and 2000

Figure 4: Scatter Plot of the 2000 Ratio of Males-to-Females By State Among those 20 to 25 Years of Age Against the 2000 Proportion of Households with a Migrant Residing Abroad
Figure 5: Scatter Plot of the 2000 Ratio of Males-to-Females By State Among those 31 to 35 Years of Age Against the 2000 Proportion of Households with a Migrant Residing Abroad.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative Supply of Males Based on State of Residence</th>
<th>Relative Supply of Males Based on State of Birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.82 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.77 (0.03)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixed Effect Specification</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year Effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age Effects</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Effects</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard errors are in parentheses. Standard errors are computed allowing for clustering in each included age-state cell. Observation in the data vary across three age groups (16 to 19, 20 to 25, and 26 to 30), 32 Mexican states, and three inter-census changes (1960 to 1970, 1970 to 1990, and 1990 to 2000, giving a total of 288 observations. For the proportion employed and the proportion enrolled, comparisons do not include the 1960 to 1970 changes, yielding a total of 192 observations. Each model also controls for the average age within each cell. All models are weighted by the average of the number of observations used to compute the inter-census change.
### Table 2
Regression Model Estimates of the Impact of Changes in the Relative Supply of Men on Changes in Outcomes Variables Measures for All Women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variables</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Δ Proportion Never Married</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLS</td>
<td>-0.17 (0.05)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.16 (0.05)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.11 (0.06)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>-0.17 (0.06)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.16 (0.05)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.12 (0.07)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ Proportion with no children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLS</td>
<td>-0.20 (0.05)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.19 (0.05)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.15 (0.06)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>-0.20 (0.05)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.19 (0.05)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.19 (0.07)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ Proportion never married and with children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLS</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>0.00 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ Proportion enrolled in school</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLS</td>
<td>0.23 (0.09)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.18 (0.06)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.17 (0.09)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>0.23 (0.09)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.17 (0.05)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.14 (0.07)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ Years of education attainment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLS</td>
<td>-2.71 (1.28)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-2.67 (1.29)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-1.98 (2.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>-3.47 (1.20)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-3.44 (1.20)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-2.82 (1.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ Proportion employed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLS</td>
<td>-0.25 (0.11)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.21 (0.08)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.26 (0.10)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>-0.31 (0.11)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.26 (0.09)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.29 (0.10)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fixed Effect Specification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year Effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age Effects</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Effects</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard errors are in parentheses. Standard errors are computed allowing for clustering in each included age-state cell. The figure in each cell is the coefficient on the between-census change on the relative supply of males. Observation in the data vary across three age groups (16 to 19, 20 to 25, and 26 to 30), 32 Mexican states, and three inter-census changes (1960 to 1970, 1970 to 1990, and 1990 to 2000, giving a total of 288 observations. For the proportion employed and the proportion enrolled, comparisons do not include the 1960 to 1970 changes, yielding a total of 192 observations. Each regression also controls for the average age within each cell. All models are weighted by the average of the number of observations used to compute the inter-census change.

- a. Statistically significant at the one percent level of confidence.
- b. Statistically significant at the five percent level of confidence.
- c. Statistically significant at the 10 percent level of confidence.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Coefficient(^a)</th>
<th>Difference in Relative Supply, Quintana Roo minus Michoacán</th>
<th>Implied Impact</th>
<th>Impact relative to base estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proportion never married</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion that have never had a child</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion enrolled</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of schooling</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion employed</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Coefficients in this column are the coefficient estimates from the final column of Table 5. I use the smaller of either the IV or OLS coefficients from this specification.

b. For the proportion never married, the proportion that have never had a child, the proportion enrolled, and the proportion employed, the figures in this column present the ratio of the effect size in the previous column to the average value for all Mexican women between 20 and 25 in 2000. For years of schooling, average education in 2000 for all women is used as the base value.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variables</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Δ Median husband-wife age difference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLS</td>
<td>0.54 (0.86)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.90)</td>
<td>0.21 (1.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>0.97 (1.24)</td>
<td>0.87 (1.25)</td>
<td>0.71 (2.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ Proportion married to younger men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLS</td>
<td>-0.02 (0.02)</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>-0.03 (0.02)</td>
<td>-0.02 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ Median husband-wife education difference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLS</td>
<td>-0.46 (0.42)</td>
<td>-0.48 (0.41)</td>
<td>-0.32 (0.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>-0.35 (0.36)</td>
<td>-0.36 (0.37)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ Proportion married to less educated men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLS</td>
<td>0.05 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.11) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>0.06 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.13) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Effect Specification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age Effects</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Effects</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard errors are in parentheses. Standard errors are computed allowing for clustering in each included age-state cell. The figure in each cell is the coefficient on the between-census change on the relative supply of males. Observation in the data vary across three age groups (16 to 19, 20 to 25, 26 to 30), 32 Mexican states, and three inter-census changes (1960 to 1970, 1970 to 1990, and 1990 to 2000, giving a total of 192 observations. Each regression also controls for the average age within each cell. All models are weighted by the average of the number of observations used to compute the inter-census change.

a. Statistically significant at the one percent level of confidence.
b. Statistically significant at the five percent level of confidence.
c. Statistically significant at the 10 percent level of confidence.